

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/808,115	Applicant(s) LEFEVRE, CARINE	
	Examiner D. S. Nakarani	Art Unit 1773	

All Participants:

(1) D. S. Nakarani.

(2) Timothy J. Engling, Attorney.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 15 December 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

5 and 6

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Mr. Engling agreed to cancel claim 5 to avoid rejection of claim 5 as being not further limiting subject matter of claim 3 and to delete unnecessary phrase ", wherein the film has two polymeric polyvinyl chloride film layers" from claim 6, lines 11-12.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)